

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	TRADEMARKS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ALAIN LEMIEUX			
09/445,617	12/08/1999		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
			7218-000002	1168	
759	· 04/02/2003				
HARNESS DI	CKEY & PIERCE				
PO BOX 828			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			JUSKA, CHE	JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771 DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/445,617					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	LEMIEUX, ALAIN				
		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	1771				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply verified in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cannot be apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing disearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fee 2a) This action is FINAL.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(is(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE ate of this communication, even if timely filed bruary 2003.	(S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). may reduce any				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims Output Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 14-24 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration						
S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 14-24</u> is/are rejected						
/)LJ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted	or b) objects to the second					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
S consolion life on	a) approved by diagon	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The path as dealer with the drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
The dail of declaration is objected to by the Examiner						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 H 2 2 2 4 4 4 4						
None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in A. V.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the partificity.						
and the definition of a claim for domestic priority under 25 U.S.O. 24424						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 25 to 2 and a second control of the control o						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:	-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Summer						

U.S. Patent PTO-326

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Amendment C, submitted as Paper No. 12 on February 6, 2003, has been entered. Claims 2 and 13 have been cancelled, while claims 1, 9, 12, 14-17, 20, and 21 have been amended as requested. New claims 23 and 24 have been added. Thus, the pending claims are 1, 3-12, and 14-24.
- 3. Amendment C is sufficient to withdraw the 112, 2nd rejections set forth in sections 3-6 of the last Office Action. Additionally, said amendment is sufficient to withdraw the 103 rejection over Leffingwell and Maeda, as set forth in section 8 of the last Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-8, 12, 14-19, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5. unpatentable over US 4,505,960 issued to Leffingwell in view of US 4,830 issued to Maeda, and in further view of US 4,931,477 issued to Shiiki et al. and US 5,035,275 issued to Yamaguchi.

Independent claims 1 and 12 have been amended with the limitations of claims 2 and 13. however, claims 2 and 13 were previously rejected under the cited combination of Leffingwell, Maeda, Shiiki, and Yamaguchi. Thus, claims 1 and 12 are now also rejection under the cited combination of art for the reasons of record.

- Claims 9, 20, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. the cited Leffingwell, Maeda, Shiiki, and Yamaguchi patents, as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and in further view of US 5,373,667 issued to Lemieux, for the reasons of record.
- 7. Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Leffingwell, Maeda, Shiiki, and Yamaguchi patents, as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of US 5,820,475 issued to Luna, for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments submitted with Amendment C have been fully considered, but have not been found persuasive. Applicant traverses the rejections of unamended claims 1 and 12 based upon Leffingwell and Maeda by asserting that they do not teach the presently claimed density and thickness properties (Amendment C, page 6). The Examiner agrees and notes that the rejection has now been amended to include the combination of Leffingwell, Maeda, Shiiki, and Yamaguchi patents, as was previously applied to claims 2 and 13. With respect to this combination of art, applicant traverses by arguing Shiiki and Yamaguchi teach the bead density

and molded density for purposes other than that desired by applicant (Amendment C, page 7). In response, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

9. Furthermore, it is argued that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the thickness and density of the underpad according to Leffingwell and Maeda, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215. In particular, density and thickness of a foam underpad would directly affect the amount of cushioning properties and weight of said underpad. Therefore, applicant's arguments have been found unpersuasive and the above rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CHERY A. JUSKA